1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	JOHN OLIVER SNOW,	CASE NO.: 3:14-CV-00290-RCJ-VPC
4	Plaintiff,	ORDER
5	v.	ORDER
6	DAVID A. MAR, et al.,	
7	Defendant.	
8		
9	Before the Court is the Reports and Recommendations of U.S. Magistrate Judge (ECF Nos. 100	
10	1221) entered on August 10, 2016 and January 23, 2017, recommending that the Court deny Plaintiff	
11	Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 84); deny Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgmen	
12	(ECF No. 105); and grant Plaintiff's Motions to Dismiss Romero Aranas (ECF Nos. 99, 117). O	
13	February 6, 2017, Defendants filed Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (EC	
14	No. 122) (ECF No. 125).	
15	The Court has conducted it's de novo review in this case, has fully considered the objections of	
16	the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuar	
17	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's	
18	Reports and Recommendation (ECF No. 100) entered on August 10, 2016 and (ECF No. 122) entere	
19	on January 23, 2017, should be ADOPTED AND ACCEPTED.	
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 84) i	
21	DENIED.	
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 105	
23	is DENIED.	
24	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions to Dismiss Romero Aranas (ECF Nos. 99)	
25	117) are GRANTED.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED this 16 <sup>TH</sup> day of February, 2017.	
27 28		P Janes

<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.